



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/699,576

10/31/2003

Sara A. Kerner

070602-0400

1577

31824 7590 02/05/2009  
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.  
SUITE 500  
IRVINE, CA 92612-7108

EXAMINER

PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2128

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/05/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/699,576	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KERNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHAMBHAVI PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2128	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHAMBHAVI PATEL. (3) Karen Laub.

(2) Kamini Shah. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Slambrook.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In view of the proposed amendments, Examiner noted that the prior art reference (Slambrook) was no longer a 102(b) reference, but noted that the Slambrook reference could still be applied as a 103 reference Specifically, the prior art does not teach superimposing the virtual world on the real word "as seen by the viewer", since this is interpreted to be augmented reality while the prior art is directed primarily to virtual reality.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Kamini S Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128
--	---